

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,590	04/0	2/2004	Linda Zhong	356-014-USP	4928	
59542	7590	06/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	Y KIM & ED	HA, NG	HA, NGUYEN T			
1660 LING SUITE 30:	COLN STREET 50			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER,	R, CO 80264-3103					
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2004	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/817,590	ZHONG ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Nguyen T. Ha	2831	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ion. a, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT attatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	≘ation.
Status				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal matte	• •	ts is
Disnosit	ion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-81 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 31-81 is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	hdrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the other oath or declaration is objected to by the specific transfer of transfer of transfer of the specific transfer of tran	accepted or b) objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Beee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been i Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	;
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-30 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of copending Application No. 10/817,701. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the claimed limitations of the present application are recited in the claims of copending Application No.

Art Unit: 2831

10/817,701 and therefore the claims 1-30 of Application No. 10/817,701 anticipate the claims 1-30 of the present application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T. Ha whose telephone number is 571-272-1974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 2831

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NGUYEN T. HA PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 12, 2006